Prepared for submission to JCAP

arXiv:1408.5885v3 [gr-qc] 31 May 2015

Higher Order Lagrangians inspired by the Pais-Uhlenbeck Oscillator and their cosmological applications

Gustavo Pulgar[a] **Joel Saavedra**[a] **Genly Leon**[a] **Yoelsy Leyva**[b]

[a]Instituto de Física, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso, Casilla 4950, Valparaíso, Chile

[b]Departamento de Física, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Tarapacá, Casilla 7-D, Arica, Chile

E-mail: gustavopulgar@gmail.com, joel.saavedra@ucv.cl, genly.leon@ucv.cl, yoelsy.leyva@uta.cl

Abstract. We study higher derivative terms associated with scalar field cosmology. We consider a coupling between the scalar field and the geometry inspired by the Pais-Uhlenbeck oscillator, given by $\alpha\partial_\mu\partial^\mu\phi\partial_\nu\partial^\nu\phi$. We investigate the cosmological dynamics in a phase space. For $\alpha > 0$, we provide conditions for the stability of de Sitter solutions. In this case the crossing of the phantom divide $w_{DE} = -1$ occurs once; thereafter, the equation of state parameter remains under this line, asymptotically reaching towards the de Sitter solution from below. For $\alpha < 0$, which is the portion of the parameter space where in addition to crossing the phantom divide, cyclic behavior is possible, we present regions in the parameter space where, according to Smilga's classification the ghost has benign or malicious behavior.

Keywords: Modified gravity, dark energy, Pais-Uhlenbeck oscillator, dynamical analysis

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

2 Smilga approach to classical mechanics **4**

3 Higher derivative coupling formulation **5**

4 Qualitative behavior in the Phase space **8**
4.1 Phase space 8
4.1.1 Evolution rates for the cosmological solutions near $P_2^{\pm}$ 10
4.1.2 Evolution rates for the cosmological solutions near P_4 15
4.2 Two-field model reformulation 16
4.2.1 Phase space 18

5 Crossing the phantom divide **21**
5.1 Case $\alpha > 0$ 21
5.2 Case $\alpha < 0$ 22
5.2.1 Numerical Solutions and Regimes 22

6 Final Remarks **22**

1 Introduction

Scalar fields have been widely used in cosmology as good candidates to describe the early (inflationary era) and late universe (dark energy). When using a scalar field as the matter content of the universe, the coupling between the scalar field and the geometry must be specified. In this sense, there is enough literature about the minimal and non-minimal coupling cases [1–12]. The standard action to describe a minimally coupled scalar field is given by

$$S = \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left(\frac{R}{2} - \frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi - V(\phi)\right), \tag{1.1}$$

where the scalar field is minimally coupled to gravity [1]. We can consider another non-minimal coupling by adding one term to (1.1), given by

$$\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\zeta R\phi^2, \tag{1.2}$$

where the scalar field is now coupled to the geometry through the Ricci scalar. A generalization of action (1.2) was investigated in [13] by considering potentials $V(\phi) = \phi^n$ and $V(\phi) = \phi^{n_1} + \phi^{n_2}$, and couplings $-\zeta B(\phi)R$ (where ζ is the coupling constant) and $B(\phi) = \phi^N$. The global picture of the phase space was investigated by means of compact variables. For some intervals of the slopes of the potential and the coupling function it was possible to find some exact solutions. In reference [14], a negative cosmological constant was added to (1.2) . This allowed for a quasi-cyclic universe evolution with the Hubble parameter oscillating

[1] This manuscript has units where $M^2 \equiv \frac{1}{8\pi G} = 1$.

from positive to negative values. Either one or several cycles can occur, depending on the initial conditions, before becoming negative forever. Some very close models are the so-called quinstant models (non-minimally coupled scalar field with the addition of a negative cosmological constant), which were discussed from a dynamical systems point of view in [15]. In addition, from both qualitative and observational viewpoints, other Dark Energy models, e.g., the quintom paradigm, were reviewed in [15] and new results were added to the state of art.

To continue presenting alternatives, a scalar field can be coupled to the matter sector by adding a term to (1.1) of the form [16]

$$\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\Omega(\phi)^{-2}\mathcal{L}(\chi,\nabla\chi,\Omega(\phi)^{-1}g_{\mu\nu}), \tag{1.3}$$

where $\Omega(\phi)^{-2}$ is the coupling function, $\mathcal{L}$ is the matter Lagrangian, and χ is a collective name for the matter degrees of freedom. The kinds of couplings been in (1.2) and (1.3) are related through conformal transformations (see [16] and references therein). In a recent paper [17] a comprehensive review about theories based on the action (1.3) was presented.

It is well known that the more general scalar field Lagrangian with non-minimal coupling between the scalar field and the curvature and which the same time produces second order motion equations, is the so-called Horndeski lagrangian [18]. A special subclass, the Galileons, were constructed in [19–22]. In order for the field equations to satisfy the Galilean symmetry

$$\phi\to\phi+c,\ \partial_\mu\phi\to\partial_\mu\phi+b_\mu,\ c,b_\mu\,\text{constants},$$

in the Minkowskian limit, the four-dimensional Lagrangian must be the sum of the Einstein-Hilbert lagrangian and four unique terms consisting of scalar combinations of $\partial_\mu\phi$, $\partial_\mu\partial_\nu\phi$ and $\Box\phi$, which are given by [23]:

$$\mathcal{L}_2=K(\phi,X), \tag{1.4}$$
$$\mathcal{L}_3=-G_3(\phi,X)\Box\phi, \tag{1.5}$$
$$\mathcal{L}_4=G_4(\phi,X)\,R+G_{4,X}\left[(\Box\phi)^2-(\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\phi)\,(\nabla^\mu\nabla^\nu\phi)\right], \tag{1.6}$$
$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_5=&\,G_5(\phi,X)\,G_{\mu\nu}\,(\nabla^\mu\nabla^\nu\phi)\\&-\frac{1}{6}\,G_{5,X}\left[(\Box\phi)^3-3(\Box\phi)\,(\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\phi)\,(\nabla^\mu\nabla^\nu\phi)+2(\nabla^\mu\nabla_\alpha\phi)\,(\nabla^\alpha\nabla_\beta\phi)\,(\nabla^\beta\nabla_\mu\phi)\right].\end{aligned} \tag{1.7}$$

The functions K and G_i $(i=3,4,5)$ depend on the scalar field ϕ and its kinetic energy $X=-\partial^\mu\phi\partial_\mu\phi/2$, while R is the Ricci scalar, and $G_{\mu\nu}$ is the Einstein tensor. $G_{i,X}$ and $G_{i,\phi}$ $(i=3,4,5)$ respectively correspond to the partial derivatives of G_i with respect to X and ϕ, namely $G_{i,X}\equiv\partial G_i/\partial X$ and $G_{i,\phi}\equiv\partial G_i/\partial\phi$.

In [24] the special case

$$S=\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left[\frac{R}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\partial^\mu\phi\partial_\mu\phi-V(\phi)-\frac{1}{2}g(\phi)\partial^\mu\phi\partial_\mu\phi\Box\phi+\mathcal{L}_m\right]. \tag{1.8}$$

was investigated from the dynamical systems perspective. In this setup, we can find non-minimally coupled subclasses of Horndeski scalar-tensor theories that arises from the decoupling limit of massive gravity by covariantization [25, 26].

Now, in this paper, instead of investigating the Horndeski/Galileon class of models, we want to investigate a possible model that belongs to the more general theoretical form of

the action i.e, with more general coupling terms between the scalar field and the spacetime curvatures, expressed as

$$S = \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left\{f(R, R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu}, R_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}R^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}, \ldots) + K(\phi, \partial_\mu\phi\partial^\mu\phi, \Box^2\phi, R^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi, \ldots) - V(\phi)\right\}, \tag{1.9}$$

where f and K are arbitrary functions of the corresponding variables. Following the logic established above, the non-linear functions f and K provide more general non-minimal coupling between the scalar field and gravity. Of course these new coupling functions modify the usual Klein-Gordon equation, and in contrast with the Horndeski/Galileon class, the field equation for the scalar field is no longer a second order differential equation. Some previous results in the literature are, for example: in Ref. [27] where the authors used the coupling $R^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi$, and found new analytical inflationary solutions; in Ref. [28], where the couplings $R\partial_\mu\phi\partial^\mu\phi$ and $R^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi$ were used and the author found one de Sitter attractor solution; more recently, in Ref. [29], it was found that the equation of motion for the scalar field can be reduced to a second order differential equation when it is kinetically coupled to the Einstein tensor, $G^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi$; in Ref. [30], where the author investigated the cosmological scenarios for this kind of coupling; and in reference [31] where a large class of Lagrangians of the form $L = Q(\Box\phi)$ was investigated, where Q is a convex function. This lattermost theory allows for an inflationary evolution of the universe driven from rather generic initial conditions and for which, it has been called B-inflation or Box-inflation.

Another earlier attempt to study the most general Higher Derivative scalar gravity is shown in [32]. Yet another, in which one loop renormalization and asymptotic behavior of a higher derivative scalar theory in curved space-time can be seen in [33]. The conformal version of such theories is proposed therein, and asymptotic freedom is attempted as a solution to the ghost problem.

In this article, we would like to combine these ideas in a more simple setting for which the higher order term is calculated with a homogeneous FRW metric. This allows the transformation of a complex cosmological problem, where the lagrangian is of higher order in the time derivatives, into a problem of classical mechanics. In order to do so, we use a coupling term inspired by the so-called Pais-Uhlenbeck (PU) oscillator. This oscillator was proposed by Pais and Uhlenbeck as a non-localized action for solving the ultraviolet behavior of field theories [34]. These kinds of theories are not free of problems, however; because the equations of motion are of the fourth-order, there are ghosts therefore. These ghosts appear due to the linear instability (or Ostrogradsky linear instability) of the theory [35, 36]. Concerning this instability, there is a no-go theorem, the so-called Ostrogradski theorem, which states that: if the higher order time derivatives Lagrangian is non-degenerate, there is at least one linear instability in the Hamiltonian [37]. The presence of ghosts usually spoils unitary and/or causality features of the theory, which is why higher derivatives theories are not usually considered good theories. To circumvent this problem, one may introduce an interaction term and show the existence of a safe region in the parameters space where the theory is well behaved (as developed in Ref. [38]). For examples of PU oscillators in classical mechanics see, for instance [39–41].

Another possible way of dealing with the Ostrogradski ghost associated with non-degenerate higher order theories is based on an existing residual gauge symmetry that might be used to consistently select a stable physical Hilbert space [42], interestingly such a field could be amplified during inflation and would give an effective cosmological constant today. This quantization procedure was motivated by previous works on gauge vector fields [43, 44]

and the introduction of the associated Stückelberg field. The first non-singular bounce model free of theoretical pathologies (such as ghosts, superluminality, graceful-exit issues, etc), was presented in [45]. An interesting review about the topic of building a healthy bouncing/cyclic universe can be found in [46].

2 Smilga approach to classical mechanics

First we would like to review the toy model proposed by Smilga [38] with equation of motion

$$q^{IV} = \frac{d\alpha}{dt}, \tag{2.1}$$

where α is some function of q, i.e, a potential. The above equation can be obtained from the higher-derivative action

$$S = \int dt \left(\frac{1}{2}\ddot{q}^2 - \alpha(q) \right), \tag{2.2}$$

Since (2.1) is of fourth order, the phase space is 4-dimensional. Therefore, we can describe the phase space with a pair of canonical variables and their momenta (P_1, Q_1) and (P_2, Q_2) with the Hamiltonian

$$H = P_1 Q_1 + \frac{P_2^2}{2} + \alpha(Q_1), \tag{2.3}$$

where one can always choose $\alpha(Q_1)$ to be some function which is bounded from below. The first term in (2.3), which is linear in P_1, is the signal of the Ostrogradski linear instability. Since P_1 takes values throughout the phase space, there is no barrier preventing some degrees of freedom of the theory from having arbitrary negative energies. In other words, the Hamiltonian is not bounded from below. This corresponds to the Ostrogradski no-go theorem [37]. Therefore, the higher order derivative Lagrangians always have at least one linear instability, which leads to the presence of ghosts in the system. As said before, these ghosts spoils the unitary and causality features of the theory so that these types of systems should therefore, at first glance, abandoned. Nevertheless, there is one kind of exorcism can try to do over the ghost.

In this line of reasoning, then, we would like to comment just two proposals. In Ref. [47], it was proved that the Ostrogradski instability can be removed by the addition of constraints, in which the original phase space of the theory is reduced. On the other hand, Smilga [38] found that a comparatively "benign" mechanical higher-derivative system exists where the classical vacuum is stable under small perturbations and the problems appear only at non-perturbative levels. The author used the following example,

$$L = \frac{1}{2}\left((\ddot{q} + \Omega^2 q)^2 - \frac{\alpha}{4} q^4 - \frac{\beta}{2} q^2 \dot{q}^2 \right), \tag{2.4}$$

which corresponds to a higher-derivative model involving two kind of non-linear terms $\sim q^4$ and $\sim q^2\dot{q}^2$. This system is benign if the non-linear terms in the Lagragian have the opposite sign, compared to the quadratic term $\sim (\ddot{q} + \Omega^2 q)^2$. As such it is expected that the system is benign if both α and β are positive, and malicious if both α and β are negative [38]. This simplest example shows how the interaction (the coupling) term plays a decisive role in the benign or malicious behavior of the theory.

In this paper, we propose a covariant model with a minimal coupling between the scalar field and the geometry, but with higher-derivative terms inspired by the Pais-Uhlenbeck. The

Pais-Uhlenbeck oscillator was proposed in [34] for field theories with non-localized action in order to correct the ultraviolet behavior of the theory. The action describing the PU oscillator is

$$S = \frac{\gamma}{2}\int dt(\ddot{q}^2 - (\omega_1^2+\omega_2^2)\dot{q}^2 + \omega_1^2\omega_2^2 q^2), \tag{2.5}$$

that leads to the equations of motion of fourth order

$$q^{IV} + (\omega_1^2+\omega_2^2)\ddot{q}^2 + \omega_1^2\omega_2^2 q = 0. \tag{2.6}$$

Now, if we use the extra-coordinate $x = \dot{q}$ with the corresponding canonical momentum P_x, the canonical Hamiltonian is given by

$$H = P_q x + \frac{P_x^2}{2} + \frac{(\omega_1^2+\omega_2^2)x^2}{2} - \frac{\omega_1^2\omega_2^2 q^2}{2}, \tag{2.7}$$

where the Ostrogradski instability encodes in the first term. The fourth order equation (2.6) gives a propagator like

$$G(E) = \frac{1}{(E^2+m_1^2)(E^2+m_2^2)}, \tag{2.8}$$

that can be rewritten as

$$G(E) = \frac{1}{m_2^2-m_1^2}\left(\frac{1}{E^2+m_1^2} - \frac{1}{E^2+m_2^2}\right). \tag{2.9}$$

Therefore, the PU oscillator is not free of the Ostrogradski instability and it exhibits ghost in its particle content.

The next section is devoted to a cosmological construction based on the PU oscillator. We explore the malicious behavior of the ghost and its possible corrected by the interaction between geometry and the scalar field.

3 Higher derivative coupling formulation

First, let us describe one simple model introduced in [47] where the action of the system is given by

$$S = \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left(\frac{R}{2} - \frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\mu\phi\nabla_\nu\phi + \frac{\alpha}{2}\Box\phi\Box\phi - V(\phi)\right), \tag{3.1}$$

that is, a kind of Lee-Wick dark energy. In this case, the equation of motion for the scalar field is given by

$$\Box\phi + \alpha\,\Box^2\phi - \frac{dV}{d\phi} = 0, \tag{3.2}$$

and the corresponding energy momentum tensor is described by

$$\begin{aligned} T^{\mu\nu} = &\left(\frac{1}{2}\nabla_\rho\phi\nabla^\rho\phi + \frac{\alpha}{2}\,\Box\phi\Box\phi + \alpha\,\nabla^\rho\phi\nabla_\rho(\Box\phi) + V\right)g^{\mu\nu} \\ &- \nabla^\mu\phi\nabla^\nu\phi - \alpha\,\nabla^\nu\phi\nabla^\mu(\Box\phi) - \alpha\,\nabla^\mu\phi\nabla^\nu(\Box\phi). \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Under the scalar field redefinition [47, 48]

$$\chi = \alpha\,\Box\phi, \tag{3.4}$$

$$\psi = \phi + \chi, \tag{3.5}$$

the energy momentum tensor (3.3) can be written as

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla_\rho\psi\nabla^\rho\psi - \nabla_\rho\chi\nabla^\rho\chi + 2V(\psi-\chi) + \frac{\chi^2}{\alpha}\right)g^{\mu\nu} - \nabla^\mu\psi\nabla^\nu\psi + \nabla^\mu\chi\nabla^\nu\chi \tag{3.6}$$

and the corresponding Lagrangian is given by

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2}\nabla_\mu\psi\nabla^\mu\psi + \frac{1}{2}\nabla^\mu\chi\nabla^\mu\chi - V(\psi-\chi) - \frac{\chi^2}{2\alpha}. \tag{3.7}$$

This means that the single-field higher derivative model is equivalent to a two field model where one field is conventional (χ), and the second one is a ghost (ψ). This result is consistent with Ostrogradski's theorem. Therefore, the presence of ghosts in higher derivative cosmology is inevitable.

This class of models is closely related to the so-called quintom paradigm [49–60] through a Lee-Wick transformation of the kind seen in (3.5). It is important to mention that some cosmological features might be lost by the transformation since both fields are not independent.

Our purpose is to investigate the lagrangian density corresponding to action (3.1), which is

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-g}\left(R + \nabla_\mu\phi\nabla^\mu\phi + \alpha\nabla_\mu\nabla^\mu\phi\nabla_\nu\nabla^\nu\phi - 2V(\phi)\right), \tag{3.8}$$

where α is the coupling parameter. Additionally, we consider a radiation source with energy density $\rho_r = \rho_{r,0}a^{-4}$ as the background.

For a homogeneous, isotropic, and spatially-flat universe, the line element is described by

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - a(t)^2 d\mathbf{x^2}. \tag{3.9}$$

Now we can use the fact that Einstein's equations for an homogeneous, isotropic, and flat universe can be derived from a pointlike Lagrangian [61]:

$$L = L(a,\phi,\dot{a},\dot{\phi},\ddot{a},\ddot{\phi}) = \frac{1}{2}\left[6(a^2\ddot{a} + a\dot{a}^2) + a^3\dot{\phi}^2 + \alpha a^3\ddot{\phi}^2 - 2a^3V(\phi) - \frac{2\rho_{r,0}}{a}\right], \tag{3.10}$$

leading to the equations of motion

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i} - \frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i}\right) + \frac{d^2}{dt^2}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \ddot{q}^i}\right) = 0, \text{ where } q^i = (a,\phi). \tag{3.11}$$

So, the equations of motion for the scale factor and the scalar field are respectively

$$2a\ddot{a} + \dot{a}^2 + \frac{a^2}{2}\left(\dot{\phi}^2 + \alpha\ddot{\phi}^2 - 2V(\phi)\right) + \frac{\rho_{r,0}}{3a^2} = 0, \tag{3.12}$$

$$\alpha\phi^{(IV)} + 6\alpha\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2\ddot{\phi} + 3\alpha\left(\frac{\ddot{a}}{a}\ddot{\phi} + 2\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\dddot{\phi}\right) - 3\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\dot{\phi} - \ddot{\phi} - \frac{\partial V}{\partial\phi} = 0. \tag{3.13}$$

Since the lagrangian is not an explicit function of time, we can use the first Jacobi integral, or in other words, we can apply Noether's theorem for second order theories [62] with a lagrangian invariant under time translations, to get the conservation equation

$$-\rho_0 = L - \dot{q}^j\frac{\partial L}{\partial\dot{q}^j} + \dot{q}^j\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial\ddot{q}^j}\right) - \ddot{q}^j\frac{\partial L}{\partial\ddot{q}^j}. \tag{3.14}$$

Since our original system is covariant, we can fix $\rho_0 = 0$ and obtain a Friedmann-like equation

$$3\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{2}\left(\dot{\phi}^2 + \alpha\ddot{\phi}^2 + 2V(\phi)\right) - 3\alpha\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\dot{\phi}\ddot{\phi} - \alpha\dot{\phi}\dddot{\phi} + \frac{\rho_{r,0}}{a^4}. \tag{3.15}$$

Therefore the cosmological behavior of our system is described by the equation (3.12), (3.13) and the Friedmann-like constraint (3.15).

Additionally, we can define an effective Dark Energy (DE) source with energy density and pressure given by

$$\rho_{DE} := \frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 + \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha\ddot{\phi}^2 - \frac{3\alpha\dot{a}\dot{\phi}\ddot{\phi}}{a} - \alpha\dddot{\phi}\dot{\phi}, \tag{3.16a}$$

$$p_{DE} := \frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 - \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha\ddot{\phi}^2, \tag{3.16b}$$

where we have chosen a quadratic potential $V(\phi) = \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2$.

Therefore, we can combine the Friedmann equations (3.15) and (3.12) in the usual form

$$3H^2 = \rho_r + \rho_{DE} \tag{3.17}$$

$$2\dot{H} = -\left(\frac{4}{3}\rho_r + \rho_{DE} + p_{DE}\right), \tag{3.18}$$

The conservation equation for radiation is

$$\dot{\rho}_r = -4H\rho_r. \tag{3.19}$$

The dark energy density and pressure satisfy the usual evolution equation

$$\dot{\rho}_{DE} + 3H(\rho_{DE} + p_{DE}) = 0, \tag{3.20}$$

and we can also define the dark energy equation-of-state parameter as usual

$$w_{DE} \equiv \frac{p_{DE}}{\rho_{DE}}. \tag{3.21}$$

Alternatively, we have defined the effective (total) equation of state parameter by

$$w_{\text{eff}} \equiv \frac{p_{DE} + \frac{1}{3}\rho_r}{\rho_{DE} + \rho_r}. \tag{3.22}$$

Finally, we introduce the dimensionless energy densities

$$\Omega_{DE} \equiv \frac{\rho_{DE}}{3H^2}, \tag{3.23}$$

$$\Omega_r \equiv \frac{\rho_r}{3H^2}, \tag{3.24}$$

which satisfy the Friedmann equation (3.17).

In the next sections, we explore the parameter space to see the benign or malicious behavior of this system.

4 Qualitative behavior in the Phase space

In this section, we perform stability analysis of the cosmological scenario at hand. In order to do that, we first transform it to its autonomous form [65–72]

$$\mathbf{X}' = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{X}), \tag{4.1}$$

where $\mathbf{X}$ is a column vector of auxiliary variables, and prime denotes derivatives with respect to $N = \ln a$. From this, one extracts the critical points $\mathbf{X_c}$ which satisfy $\mathbf{X}' = \mathbf{0}$. In order to determine their stability properties, one takes the Taylor expansion around them up to first order as

$$\mathbf{U}' = \mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{U}, \tag{4.2}$$

with $\mathbf{U}$, the column vector of the perturbations of the variables and $\mathbf{Q}$, the matrix containing the coefficients of the perturbation equations. The eigenvalues of $\mathbf{Q}$ evaluated at the specific critical point determine their type and stability.

4.1 Phase space

In our context the column vector denoted as $\mathbf{X}$, is given by

$$\begin{aligned} x = \frac{\dot{\phi}}{\sqrt{6}H},\ y = \frac{\ddot{\phi}}{\sqrt{6}H},\ z = \frac{m\phi}{\sqrt{6}H}, \\ u = \frac{H}{\dot{\phi}\ddot{\phi}},\ v = \frac{\alpha\dot{\phi}}{3H^2}\left[\dddot{\phi} + 3H\ddot{\phi}\right], \Omega_r \equiv \frac{\rho_r}{3H^2}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

which, with Friedman equation (3.15), are related through

$$v = -1 + x^2 + \alpha y^2 + z^2 + \Omega_r. \tag{4.4}$$

Additionally, we introduce the new time variable $\tau = \ln a$, i.e., $f' \equiv \frac{df}{d\tau} = \frac{\dot{f}}{H}$. The evolution equations for (4.3) are:

$$x' = \frac{3}{2}x\left(y^2(\alpha+4u) + x^2 - z^2 + 1\right) + \frac{x\Omega_r}{2}, \tag{4.5a}$$

$$y' = \frac{3}{2}y\left(2uy^2 + x^2 - z^2 - 1\right) + \frac{3uy\left(x^2+z^2-1\right)}{\alpha} + \frac{y\Omega_r(\alpha+6u)}{2\alpha} + \frac{3\alpha y^3}{2}, \tag{4.5b}$$

$$z' = \frac{3}{2}\left(4mux^2y + z\left(x^2 + \alpha y^2 - z^2 + 1\right)\right) + \frac{z\Omega_r}{2}, \tag{4.5c}$$

$$u' = -\frac{3u^2\left(x^2+z^2-1\right)}{\alpha} - \frac{u\Omega_r(\alpha+6u)}{2\alpha} - \frac{3}{2}u\left(6uy^2 + x^2 - z^2 - 1\right) - \frac{3}{2}\alpha uy^2, \tag{4.5d}$$

$$\Omega_r' = \Omega_r\left(3x^2 + 3\alpha y^2 + \Omega_r - 3z^2 - 1\right), \tag{4.5e}$$

$$\begin{aligned} v' = {} & x^2(6y(2muz + 3uy + \alpha y) + 4\Omega_r + 3) + \\ & + \left(\alpha y^2 + \Omega_r + z^2 - 1\right)\left(3y^2(\alpha+2u) + \Omega_r - 3z^2\right) + 3x^4, \end{aligned} \tag{4.5f}$$

where the prime denotes derivative with respect to τ.

The equation (4.4) is preserved by the flow of (4.5), i.e., taking the time derivative on both sides, and using the evolution equations (4.5) to get an identity. Thus, we can use the relation (4.4) to eliminate one variable, v, whose evolution equation (4.5f) is decoupled from the rest. From (4.5a), (4.5b), (4.5d) it follows that the signs of x, y and u are

invariant. This means, e.g., that solutions with initial value $u(0) < 0$ never cross the line $u = 0$. Additionally, observe that the system is form invariant under the discrete symmetry $(x, y, \Omega_r) \to (-x, -y, \Omega_r)$. However, it is not invariant under the changes $z \to -z$ and $u \to -u$. Finally, the fractional energy density Ω_r must be non-negative. With the above features combined, we can investigate the dynamics restricted to the reduced unbounded phase space $\Psi := \{(x, y, z, u, \Omega_r) \in \mathbb{R}^5 : x \geq 0, y \geq 0, \Omega_r \geq 0\}$.

Now, in order to explain the physical meaning of the critical points of the autonomous system (4.5) we need to rewrite the cosmological parameters, defined in the previous section, in terms of the dimensionless variables (4.3). Following this, the cosmological parameters (3.21), (3.22) and (3.23) can be expressed as:

$$w_{DE} = \frac{x^2 + \alpha y^2 - z^2}{1 - \Omega_r}, \tag{4.6}$$

$$w_{eff} = x^2 - z^2 + \alpha y^2 + \frac{\Omega_r}{3}, \tag{4.7}$$

$$\Omega_{DE} = 1 - \Omega_r, \tag{4.8}$$

while the deceleration parameter becomes:[2]

$$q = -\left[1 + \frac{\dot{H}}{H^2}\right] = \frac{1}{2}\left(1 + 3x^2 + 3\alpha y^2 - 3z^2 + \Omega_r\right). \tag{4.9}$$

In the following the dynamical behavior at the finite region are investigated. Then in table 1 the real and physically interesting critical points of the autonomous system (4.5) are presented.

1. The curves of the singular points $P_1^{\pm}$ have effective cosmological parameters $w_{\text{eff}} = -1, q = -1$, i.e., each point on it behaves as de Sitter solutions. They are always saddle-like. First, it follows that $H = \frac{1}{6x_c y_c u_c} \to \infty$ at the equilibrium point since $u_c = y_c = 0$. On the other hand, from the definitions of z_c and x_c, it follows that $\phi \sim H$ and $\dot{\phi} \sim H$, which implies $\ddot{\phi} \sim u_c^{-1} \to \infty$ at equilibrium. Now, since y goes to zero, it follows that H must tend to infinity faster than $\ddot{\phi}$ does.

2. The curves of the singular points $P_2^{\pm}$ have effective cosmological parameters $w_{\text{eff}} = -1, q = -1$, i.e., they behave as de Sitter solutions. They have a 3D stable manifold and a 2D center manifold. Henceforth, to investigate its stability we must resort to numerical experimentation or use sophisticated tools like Center Manifold Theory. Since at equilibrium x_c and z_c are finite, it follows that $\dot{\phi} \sim H$ and $\phi \sim H$. Now, combining the definitions of x and u, it follows that $\ddot{\phi} = \frac{\sqrt{6}M}{6x_c u_c}$, which, combined with $y_c = 0$, implies that H must go to infinity as the equilibrium point is approached.

3. P_3 is always a saddle critical point in the phase space. Its behaviour is independent of whether the radiation is taken into account ($\Omega_r = 0$). In this case, the effective DE component would mimic cold dark matter fluid ($w_{eff} = 0$) at background level.

4. P_4 mimics a stiff solution, i.e., $w_{\text{eff}} = 1$. It is a source. All the derivatives of the scalar field, with the exception of $\ddot{\phi}$, go to infinity less quickly than H does as time goes backward.

[2] In order to avoid confusions, recall that in Section 3 we introduce q^i as the set of generalised coordinates, while henceforth q, as usual, represents the deceleration parameter.

Cr. P./curve	(x, y, z, u, Ω_r)	v	Existence
$P_1^{\pm}$	$(\sinh(\beta), 0, \pm\cosh(\beta), 0, 0)$	$2\sinh^2(\beta)$	always
$P_2^{\pm}$	$\left(\sinh(\beta), 0, \pm\cosh(\beta), \frac{1}{2}\alpha\,\mathrm{csch}^2(\beta), 0\right)$	$2\sinh^2(\beta)$	$\beta \neq 0$
P_3	$(0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$	-1	always
P_4	$\left(0, \frac{\sqrt{\alpha}}{\alpha}, 0, 0, 0\right)$	0	$\alpha > 0$
P_5	$(0, 0, 0, 0, 1)$	0	always
P_6	$\left(0, 0, 0, -\frac{\alpha}{2}, 0\right)$	-1	always

Table 1. The critical points of the autonomous system (4.5).

Cr. P./curve	Eigenvalues	Stability	w_{DE}	w_{eff}	q	Cosmological solution
$P_1^{\pm}$	$-3, -3, -4, 3, 0$	saddle	-1	-1	-1	de Sitter
$P_2^{\pm}$	$-3, -3, -4, 0, 0$	nonhyperbolic	-1	-1	-1	de Sitter
P_3	$-1, -\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}$	saddle	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	dust-like
P_4	$3, 3, 3, 2, 0$	nonhyperbolic	1	1	2	stiff-like
P_5	$-1, 1, 1, 2, 2$	saddle	-	$\frac{1}{3}$	1	radiation-dominated
P_6	$-1, -\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, 0$	saddle	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	dust-like

Table 2. Stability conditions, cosmological parameters, and cosmological behavior of solutions for the critical points of the autonomous system (4.5).

5. P_5 is a radiation-dominated solution and is a saddle, as expected.

6. P_6 mimics a matter-dominated solution with $w_{\text{eff}} = 0$, i.e., it represents a dust solution, and is a saddle point. At background level, it has the same behaviour as P_3.

4.1.1 Evolution rates for the cosmological solutions near $P_2^{\pm}$

For $P_2^{\pm}$, $u_c \neq 0, x_c \neq 0$. From the definitions of u_c, v_c, and x_c, the following relations are valid at the equilibrium point.

$$\frac{\dot{\phi}\ddot{\phi}}{H} = \frac{1}{u_c} \implies \frac{1}{H}\frac{d(\dot{\phi}^2)}{dt} = \frac{2}{u_c} \implies \dot{\phi}^2 = \ln\left(\frac{a}{a_0}\right)^{2/u_c}, \tag{4.10a}$$

$$v_c = \frac{\alpha\dot{\phi}\ddot{\phi}}{3H}\left[\frac{\dddot{\phi}}{H\ddot{\phi}} + 3\right] = \frac{\alpha}{3u_c}\left[\frac{\dddot{\phi}}{H\ddot{\phi}} + 3\right] \implies \frac{d\ln\ddot{\phi}}{d\ln a} \equiv \frac{\dddot{\phi}}{H\ddot{\phi}} = 3\left[\frac{u_c v_c}{\alpha} - 1\right], \tag{4.10b}$$

$$\ddot{\phi} = \frac{\sqrt{6}}{6x_c u_c}, \tag{4.10c}$$

$$H = \frac{\sqrt{6}\dot{\phi}}{6x_c} \implies H = \frac{\sqrt{6}\sqrt{\ln\left(\frac{a}{a_0}\right)^{\frac{2}{u_c}}}}{6x_c}. \tag{4.10d}$$

Combining all the above expressions we obtain

$$a(t) = a_0 \exp\left[\frac{1}{6\alpha}\left(\sqrt{6}a_1\sinh(\beta)+t\right)^2\right], \tag{4.11a}$$

$$H(t) = \frac{\sqrt{6}a_1\sinh(\beta)+t}{3\alpha}, \tag{4.11b}$$

$$\phi(t) = \frac{t\sinh(\beta)\left(12a_1\sinh(\beta)+\sqrt{6}t\right)}{6\alpha}, \tag{4.11c}$$

$$\dot{\phi}(t) = \frac{\sinh(\beta)\left(6a_1\sinh(\beta)+\sqrt{6}t\right)}{3\alpha}, \tag{4.11d}$$

$$\ddot{\phi}(t) = \frac{\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\sinh(\beta)}{\alpha}, \tag{4.11e}$$

$$\dddot{\phi}(t) = 0. \tag{4.11f}$$

The energy density and pressure of DE at the equilibrium point given by

$$\rho_{DE} = \frac{\sinh^2(\beta)\left(8\alpha+4a_1\sinh(\beta)\left(6a_1\sinh(\beta)\left(2m^2t^2-2\right)+\sqrt{6}t\left(2m^2t^2-4\right)\right)+2m^2t^4-8t^2\right)}{24\alpha^2}, \tag{4.12a}$$

$$p_{DE} = \frac{\sinh^2(\beta)\left(8\alpha+4a_1\sinh(\beta)\left(\sqrt{6}t\left(4-2m^2t^2\right)-6a_1\sinh(\beta)\left(2m^2t^2-2\right)\right)-2m^2t^4+8t^2\right)}{24\alpha^2} \tag{4.12b}$$

In the case of $\alpha = 0$, the slow-roll quasi-de Sitter solution (which looks similar to (4.11)), was first derived in [73]. Now, the relevant quantities associated with solution (4.11) are ρ_{DE}, p_{DE} and w_{DE}, which, in the limit $\alpha < 0, |\alpha|m^2 \ll 1$, are given by:

$$\rho_{DE} = -\frac{\sinh^2(\beta)\left(t^2-\alpha+2a_1\sinh(\beta)\left(\sqrt{6}t+3a_1\sinh(\beta)\right)\right)}{3\alpha^2}+\mathcal{O}\left(m^2|\alpha|\right), \tag{4.13a}$$

$$p_{DE} = \frac{\sinh^2(\beta)\left(t^2+\alpha+2a_1\sinh(\beta)\left(\sqrt{6}t+3a_1\sinh(\beta)\right)\right)}{3\alpha^2}+\mathcal{O}\left(m^2|\alpha|\right), \tag{4.13b}$$

$$w_{DE} = -1+\frac{2\alpha}{\alpha+2a_1\sinh(\beta)\left(3a_1\sinh(\beta)+\sqrt{6}t\right)+t^2}+\mathcal{O}\left(m^2|\alpha|\right). \tag{4.13c}$$

Now, concerning the duration of the metastable quasi-de Sitter stage, dynamical system techniques do not allow the exact duration of the lapse of time for the transition from one equilibrium point to the other to be obtained. However, from (4.13c), it follows that the value of the effective dark energy is close to -1 for large enough values of t. A rough estimate of the duration of this phase can be inferred from investigating the values of t for which the equation of state parameter of Dark Energy remains in a small interval containing the value $w_{DE} = -1$. For example, given $\Delta > 0$, we get $-1 \leq w_{DE} < -1+\Delta$ for the choice

$$a_1, \sinh(\beta) \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha < 0, 0 < \Delta < \frac{2\alpha}{\alpha-6a_1^2\sinh^2(\beta)}, t \geq \sqrt{\frac{\alpha(\Delta-2)}{\Delta}}-\sqrt{6}a_1\sinh(\beta).$$

Although it is not a unique choice in leading to the same interval for w_{DE}.

Now, let us examine the stability of P_2^+ using the center manifold theorem [65]. In order to prepare the system the analysis, we introduce the new variables

$$u_1 = \frac{1}{8}\alpha\coth(\beta)\mathrm{csch}(\beta)\left(2\alpha my(1-3\cosh(2\beta))\mathrm{csch}^2(\beta) - 8x\coth(\beta) + 8z\right), \tag{4.14a}$$

$$u_2 = 12\alpha^2 my\sinh^2(\beta)\cosh(\beta), \tag{4.14b}$$

$$v_1 = \frac{1}{8}\mathrm{csch}^2(\beta)\left(2\alpha^2 my(3\cosh(2\beta)-1)\coth(\beta)\mathrm{csch}(\beta) + \right. \tag{4.14c}$$

$$\left. +4(\alpha\Omega_r + u\cosh(2\beta) - u - 2\alpha\cosh(\beta)(z - x\coth(\beta)))\right), \tag{4.14d}$$

$$v_2 = 3\alpha\cosh^2(\beta)\left(\cosh(\beta)\left(2\alpha my - 2z\right) + 2x\sinh(\beta) - \Omega_r\right), \tag{4.14e}$$

$$v_3 = \Omega_r, \tag{4.14f}$$

which allows for the translation of P_2^+ to the origin $(u, v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4) = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$ and the system (4.5) reduces to its Jordan real form. In this case, the Jordan form of the Jacobian matrix evaluated at the origin is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -3 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -4 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.15}$$

Now, the center manifold of the origin is given locally by the graph

$$\{(u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2, v_3) : v_i = h_i(u_1, u_2), h_i(0,0) = 0, \mathbf{Dh}(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}, i = 1\ldots 4, |(u_1, u_2)| < \delta\}, \tag{4.16}$$

where δ is "small" and $\mathbf{Dh}(\mathbf{0})$ denotes the matrix of derivatives evaluated at the origin. The functions v_i must satisfy the set of quasilineal partial differential equations:

$$G_i(u_1, u_2, h_1, h_2, h_3) - \frac{\partial h_i}{\partial u_1} - \frac{\partial h_i}{\partial u_2} = 0,\ i = 1, 2, 3, \tag{4.17}$$

where $G_i(u_1, u_2, h_1, h_2, h_3) \equiv v_i'|_{v_i = h_i(u_1, u_2)},\ i = 1, 2, 3$, i.e., the expressions for evolution equations v_i' after the replacement $v_i \to h_i(u_1, u_2)$.

Assuming that the functions v_i can be expressed locally as

$$v_1 = a_1 u_1^2 + a_2 u_1 u_2 + a_3 u_2^2 + \mathcal{O}(3), \tag{4.18a}$$

$$v_2 = b_1 u_1^2 + b_2 u_1 u_2 + b_3 u_2^2 + \mathcal{O}(3), \tag{4.18b}$$

$$v_3 = c_1 u_1^2 + c_2 u_1 u_2 + c_3 u_2^2 + \mathcal{O}(3), \tag{4.18c}$$

where $\mathcal{O}(3)$ denotes terms of 3rd order, it is possible to solve the system (4.17) up to third order. Substituting the expressions (4.18) in (4.17) and comparing the coefficients of the

same powers of u_1 and u_2, we obtain the relations for the a_i's, b_i's and c_i's:

$$a_1 = \frac{11\sinh^2(\beta) + 4\tanh^2(\beta) - 1}{8\alpha} - 6a_2\sinh^4(\beta) + \frac{b_2}{2}, \tag{4.19a}$$

$$a_3 = \frac{(-3\cosh(2\beta) + 28\cosh(4\beta) - 5\cosh(6\beta) + 28)\mathrm{csch}^{10}(\beta)\mathrm{sech}^2(\beta)}{18432\alpha} + \\ - \frac{1}{144}\mathrm{csch}^4(\beta)\left(12a_2 + b_2\mathrm{csch}^4(\beta)\right) + \frac{\cosh(2\beta)\mathrm{csch}^8(\beta)\mathrm{sech}^2(\beta)}{288\alpha^2 m^2}, \tag{4.19b}$$

$$b_1 = \frac{3\sinh^4(\beta)(1 - 3\cosh(2\beta))}{4\alpha} - 6b_2\sinh^4(\beta), \tag{4.19c}$$

$$b_3 = \frac{\left(7\mathrm{csch}^4(\beta) + 6\mathrm{csch}^2(\beta) - 5\right)\mathrm{csch}^2(\beta)}{192\alpha} - \frac{1}{12}b_2\mathrm{csch}^4(\beta) + \frac{\mathrm{csch}^4(\beta)}{48\alpha^2 m^2}, \tag{4.19d}$$

$$c_1 = -8c_2\sinh^4(\beta), \tag{4.19e}$$

$$c_3 = -\frac{1}{16}c_2\mathrm{csch}^4(\beta) \tag{4.19f}$$

Thus, the graph of the center manifold of the origin is given by the functions (4.18) with the coefficients given by (4.19).

Plugging (4.19) back into the evolution equations for u_1 and u_2, we obtain that the evolution on the center manifold is given by

$$u_1' = \frac{u_2\mathrm{csch}^2(\beta)\left(-48\alpha m^2 u_1 - u_2\mathrm{csch}^6(\beta)\left(2\alpha m^2 + 4\right) + 2\alpha m^2 u_2\mathrm{csch}^4(\beta)\right)}{192\alpha^2 m^2} + \mathcal{O}(3), \tag{4.20a}$$

$$u_2' = -\frac{u_2^2\mathrm{csch}^2(\beta)}{2\alpha} + \mathcal{O}(3), \tag{4.20b}$$

Neglecting the 3rd order terms, we obtain the general solution (4.20):

$$u_1(\tau) = \frac{c_2}{\sqrt{-\alpha c_1\cosh(2\beta) + \alpha c_1 + \tau}} + \frac{\mathrm{csch}^4(\beta)\left(-2\alpha m^2\cosh(2\beta) + 6\alpha m^2 + 8\right)}{48m^2\left(-\alpha c_1\cosh(2\beta) + \alpha c_1 + \tau\right)}, \tag{4.21a}$$

$$u_2(\tau) = \frac{2\alpha}{\tau\mathrm{csch}^2(\beta) - 2\alpha c_1}. \tag{4.21b}$$

The equations (4.20) define a local flow, i.e., a flow defined for all $\tau \geq 2\alpha c_1\sinh^2(\beta)$ but not for the whole real line. For $\alpha > 0$, it is easy to prove that for $u_2(t_0) > 0$ the origin is approached when $\tau \to +\infty$. Solutions with $u_2 < 0$ depart from the origin. In figure 1 the typical behavior of solutions on the center manifold of P_2^+ is displayed. For the numerics, we choose $\alpha = 1, m = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}, \beta = 1$. For $\alpha < 0$, the typical behavior is the time reverse of the above (see figure 2).

For analyzing P_2^- we introduce the new variables

$$u_1 = \frac{1}{4}\alpha\coth(\beta)\mathrm{csch}(\beta)\left(2\alpha my\left(\mathrm{csch}^2(\beta) + 3\right) - 4x\coth(\beta) - 4z\right), \tag{4.22a}$$

$$u_2 = -12\alpha^2 my\sinh^2(\beta)\cosh(\beta), \tag{4.22b}$$

$$v_1 = \frac{1}{4}\left(\alpha\mathrm{csch}(\beta)\left(2\Omega_r\mathrm{csch}(\beta) + \coth(\beta)\left(-2\alpha my\left(\mathrm{csch}^2(\beta) + 3\right) + 4x\coth(\beta) + 4z\right)\right) + 4u\right), \tag{4.22c}$$

$$v_2 = -3\alpha\cosh^2(\beta)\left(\cosh(\beta)\left(2\alpha my - 2z\right) - 2x\sinh(\beta) + \Omega_r\right), \tag{4.22d}$$

$$v_3 = \Omega_r. \tag{4.22e}$$



Figure 1. Phase space of the system (4.20) for $\alpha = 1, m = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}, \beta = 1$. The line $u_2 = 0$ is invariant for the flow. The orbits above the line, corresponding to the portion of the phase space $u \geq 0$, are attracted by the origin. The orbits below this line depart from the origin.



Figure 2. Phase space of the system (4.20) for $\alpha = -1, m = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}, \beta = 1$. The line $u_2 = 0$ is invariant for the flow. The orbits above the line, corresponding to the portion of the phase space with $u \geq 0$, depart from the origin. The orbits below this line are attracted by the origin.

Applying the center manifold theorem analogously as before, we obtain as a result that the dynamics on the center manifold are governed by the same system (4.20). Thus, the results proceed from the previous analysis. That is, for $\frac{H(t_0)}{\dot{\phi}(t_0)\ddot{\phi}(t_0)} > 0$, P_2^- is the attractor solution.

4.1.2 Evolution rates for the cosmological solutions near P_4

For P_4 we have $y_c = \frac{\sqrt{\alpha}}{\alpha}$. This point exists only for $\alpha > 0$. From the definition of y, it follows that

$$\frac{d\dot{\phi}}{d\ln a} \equiv \sqrt{6}y_c \implies \dot{\phi} = \ln\left[\left(\frac{a}{a_0}\right)^{\sqrt{6}y_c}\right]. \tag{4.23}$$

Taking successive time derivatives of the above expression gets

$$\ddot{\phi} = \sqrt{6}y_c\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2, \tag{4.24a}$$

$$\dddot{\phi} = -\sqrt{6}y_c\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 + \sqrt{6}y_c\frac{\ddot{a}}{a}. \tag{4.24b}$$

Using the definition $H = \frac{\dot{a}}{a}$, and substituting the expressions (4.23) and (4.24) back into the definition of v, we obtain at the equilibrium point

$$\frac{\ln\left[\left(\frac{a}{a_0}\right)^{\sqrt{6}y_c}\right]\left(2\dot{a}^2 + a\ddot{a}\right)}{\dot{a}} = 0. \tag{4.25}$$

Solving the differential equation (4.25) we obtain the solution

$$a(t) = a_1(t-t_0)^{\frac{1}{3}}, \tag{4.26a}$$

$$H(t) = \frac{1}{3(t-t_0)}, \tag{4.26b}$$

$$\phi(t) = -\frac{1}{3}(t-t_0)\left(\sqrt{6}y_c - 3\ln\left[\left(\frac{a_1\sqrt[3]{t-t_0}}{a_0}\right)^{\sqrt{6}y_c}\right]\right), \tag{4.26c}$$

$$\dot{\phi}(t) = \ln\left[\left(\frac{a_1}{a_0}(t-t_0)^{\frac{1}{3}}\right)^{\sqrt{6}y_c}\right], \tag{4.26d}$$

$$\ddot{\phi}(t) = \frac{\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}y_c}{t-t_0}, \tag{4.26e}$$

$$\dddot{\phi}(t) = -\frac{\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}y_c}{(t-t_0)^2}. \tag{4.26f}$$

where $y_c = \frac{\sqrt{\alpha}}{\alpha}$.

For this point, the energy density and pressure of the DE is given by

$$\rho_{DE} = p_{DE} = \frac{1}{3(t-t_0)^2} + \ln\left[\left(\frac{a_1\sqrt[3]{t-t_0}}{a_0}\right)^{\sqrt{6}y_c}\right] + \mathcal{O}\left((t-t_0)^2\right). \tag{4.27}$$

That is, a stiff solution.

This solution, corresponding to a big-bang singularity, is closely related to the general solution obtained in [74] in the context of nonminimally coupled scalar field dark energy models.

Now, let us examine the stability of P_4 using the center manifold theorem [65]. The center manifold of P_4 is tangent to the center subspace, the u-axis. Defining the new variables

$$u = u, v_1 = \Omega_r, v_2 = z, v_3 = y + \frac{\sqrt{\alpha}}{2\alpha}\left(\Omega_r - 2\right), v_4 = x, \tag{4.28}$$

it is possible to translate P_4 to the origin $(u, v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4) = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$ and the system (4.5) reduces to its Jordan real form. The center manifold of the origin is now given locally by the graph

$$\left\{(u, v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4) : v_i = h_i(u), h_i(0) = 0, h_i'(0) = 0, i = 1 \ldots 4, |u| < \delta\right\}, \tag{4.29}$$

where δ is "small". The functions h_i can be locally expressed as $v_i = \alpha_{i1}u^2 + \alpha_{i2}u^3 + \ldots \alpha_{in}u^n + \mathcal{O}(u^{n+1})$. Using the center manifold theorem we obtain that the graph is

$$\left\{(u, v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4) : v_i = \mathcal{O}(u^{n+1}), h_i(0) = 0, \mathbf{Dh}(0) = \mathbf{0}, i = 1 \ldots 4, |u| < \delta\right\}, \tag{4.30}$$

where δ is "small", and the evolution equation on the center manifold is

$$u' = -\frac{6u^2}{\alpha} + \mathcal{O}(u^{n+1}). \tag{4.31}$$

The equation (4.31) is a gradient-like equation with potential $U(u) = \frac{2u^3}{\alpha}$. From our previous analysis we know that the sign of u is invariant. Thus, for $\alpha > 0$, the solutions starting with $u(0) > 0$ approach the origin as time goes forward. The solutions starting with $u(0) < 0$ depart asymptotically from the origin. Thus, if we restrict our attention to the halfspace $u > 0$, point P_4 behaves like a saddle point (the center manifold attracts an open set of orbits). However, considering the evolution in the whole space, the origin is unstable and P_4 is a local source.

4.2 Two-field model reformulation

In order to express the model as a 2-field theory we introduce the scalar field redefinition:

$$\psi = \phi + \alpha\Box\phi, \ \chi = \alpha\Box\phi. \tag{4.32}$$

Then, the system (3.17), (3.18), (3.19) and (3.20), reduces to

$$\ddot{\chi} = -3H\dot{\chi} + \frac{\chi}{\alpha} - m^2(\psi - \chi), \tag{4.33a}$$

$$\ddot{\psi} = -3H\dot{\psi} - m^2(\psi - \chi), \tag{4.33b}$$

$$\dot{H} = -\frac{1}{2}\left(\dot{\psi}^2 - \dot{\chi}^2\right) - \frac{2}{3}\rho_r, \tag{4.33c}$$

$$\dot{\rho}_r = -4H\rho_r, \tag{4.33d}$$

$$3H^2 = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\psi}^2 - \frac{1}{2}\dot{\chi}^2 + \frac{\chi^2}{2\alpha} + \frac{m^2}{2}(\psi - \chi)^2 + \rho_r. \tag{4.33e}$$

which is equivalent to a quintom field (ψ quintessence and χ phantom) with potential

$$U(\psi, \chi) = \frac{\chi^2}{2\alpha} + \frac{m^2}{2}(\psi - \chi)^2 \tag{4.34}$$

with a radiation field included. The DE energy density and pressure are now written as

$$\rho_{DE} := \frac{1}{2}\left[m^2(\chi-\psi)^2 + \frac{\chi^2}{\alpha} - \dot{\chi}^2 + \dot{\psi}^2\right], \tag{4.35a}$$

$$p_{DE} := \frac{1}{2}\left[-m^2(\chi-\psi)^2 - \frac{\chi^2}{\alpha} - \dot{\chi}^2 + \dot{\psi}^2\right] \tag{4.35b}$$

It is well-known that under the field redefinition [47]

$$\phi_1 = \frac{a_2\chi - a_1\psi}{a_1^2 - a_2^2}, \phi_2 = \frac{a_1\chi - a_2\psi}{a_1^2 - a_2^2} \tag{4.36}$$

where

$$a_1 = \frac{\sqrt{4\alpha m^2+1}-1}{2\sqrt[4]{4\alpha m^2+1}}, a_2 = \frac{\sqrt{4\alpha m^2+1}+1}{2\sqrt[4]{4\alpha m^2+1}}, \tag{4.37}$$

we obtain two independent modes ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 that evolve independently in the universe, i.e.,

$$\ddot{\phi}_1 + 3H\dot{\phi}_1 - m_1^2\phi_1 = 0, \tag{4.38a}$$

$$\ddot{\phi}_2 + 3H\dot{\phi}_2 + m_2^2\phi_2 = 0, \tag{4.38b}$$

where we have defined the effective masses for fields ϕ_1 and ϕ_2, respectively,

$$m_1^2 = \frac{\sqrt{4\alpha m^2+1}+1}{2\alpha}, m_2^2 = \frac{\sqrt{4\alpha m^2+1}-1}{2\alpha}. \tag{4.39}$$

The energy density of dark energy is rewritten as

$$\rho_{DE} = -\frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi_1}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi_2}^2 + \frac{1}{2}m_1^2\phi_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}m_2^2\phi_2^2, \tag{4.40}$$

in other words, ϕ_1 is a phantom mode[3].

As was shown in [47], there are no unphysical instabilities at the classical level associated with perturbations in ϕ_1. The spatial fluctuations with wavenumber $k > m_1$ are stable[4], with the exception of large scales $L > m_1^{-1}$ where a time-rising behavior takes place. For $m_1 < H$ there are no instabilities inside the horizon [79, 80]. The rising behaviors of the super-horizon modes of the phantom are supressed since Hubble expansion provides a friction force preventing these modes from increasing exponentially and as a result the instability is benign [38].

It can also be proved that, for interval $\alpha < 0, 4|\alpha|m^2 \leq 1$, the solutions of the wave equation for ϕ do not grow exponentially in a flat space time. Furthermore, in the region $\alpha < 0, |\alpha|m^2 \ll 1$, the ghost mass is $m_1^2 \approx \frac{1}{|\alpha|} \gg m^2$, namely, the mass of the ghost exceeds the mass of the scalar field $\phi \equiv \frac{\phi_1+\phi_2}{\sqrt[4]{4\alpha m^2+1}} \approx \phi_1+\phi_2$ and the mass of the normal scalar particle ϕ_2 is $m_2^2 \approx 0$. Thus, it may be argued that the ghost is benign, in the sense that it is difficult to excite it at the classical level.

[3] In the limit $\alpha m^2 \ll 1$ we obtain $a_1 \approx 0, a_2 \approx 1$, $m_1^2 \approx \frac{1}{\alpha}, m_2^2 \approx 0$ and $\chi \approx -\phi_1, \psi \approx \phi_2$ and the motion equations are completely integrable in a flat spacetime.

[4] The solution is oscillatory in time [47].

4.2.1 Phase space

Let's introduce the normalized variables

$$\Omega_r = \frac{\rho_r}{3H^2}, u_1 = \frac{\dot{\chi}}{\sqrt{6}H}, u_2 = \frac{\dot{\psi}}{\sqrt{6}H}, u_3 = \frac{m\chi}{\sqrt{6}H}, u_4 = \frac{m\psi}{\sqrt{6}H}, u_5 = \frac{\sqrt{2}m}{H}, \tag{4.41}$$

which are related through

$$\mu u_3^2 - u_1^2 + u_2^2 + (u_3 - u_4)^2 + \Omega_r = 1. \tag{4.42}$$

where we have introduced the new parameter $\mu = \frac{1}{\alpha m^2}$.

The new variables (4.41) are related to the old ones (4.3) by the non-linear transformation of coordinates

$$x = u_2 - u_1, \tag{4.43a}$$

$$y = \frac{3\sqrt{2}m(u_1 - u_2)}{u_5} - \mu m u_3, \tag{4.43b}$$

$$z = u_4 - u_3, \tag{4.43c}$$

$$u = -\frac{u_5^2}{6m^2(u_1 - u_2)\left(6u_1 - 6u_2 - \sqrt{2}\mu u_3 u_5\right)}, \tag{4.43d}$$

$$v = 2(u_1 - u_2)\left(\frac{12u_3^2(u_2 - u_1)}{u_5^2} + u_1\right) - \frac{12(u_1 - u_2)^2\left(u_1^2 - u_2^2 + 2\left((u_3 - u_4)^2 - 1\right)\right)}{\mu u_5^2} \tag{4.43e}$$

with inverse transformation

$$u_1 = \frac{2uy^2\left(-6\mu^2 m^4 u^3 v y^2 + \mu m^2 u\left(x^2 - 2z^2 + 2\right) - 2uy^2 - 2\right) - 1}{4\mu m^2 u^2 x y^2\left(6\mu m^2 u^2 y^2 - 1\right)}, \tag{4.44a}$$

$$u_2 = \frac{2uy^2\left(-6\mu^2 m^4 u^3 y^2\left(v - 2x^2\right) - \mu m^2 u\left(x^2 + 2z^2 - 2\right) - 2uy^2 - 2\right) - 1}{4\mu m^2 u^2 x y^2\left(6\mu m^2 u^2 y^2 - 1\right)}, \tag{4.44b}$$

$$u_3 = -\frac{2uy^2 + 1}{2\mu m u y}, \tag{4.44c}$$

$$u_4 = z - \frac{2uy^2 + 1}{2\mu m u y}, \tag{4.44d}$$

$$u_5 = 6\sqrt{2}muxy. \tag{4.44e}$$

The variables (4.41) are suitable for describing a portion of the solution space than cannot be accessed by the set of coordinates (4.3). The transformations (4.43) (resp. (4.44)) are not smooth for $u_5 = 0$ (resp. $u = 0, x = 0, y = 0$), and so are not smooth at the fixed points. Thus, the critical points obtained for the coordinate system (4.41) are indeed new points. Additionally, the new set of variables (4.41) is more suitable for the numerics than (4.3), since for the variables (4.3), the variable u and the variables x, y take numerical values with several orders of magnitude of difference. Thus, it is worth investigating the solution space described by (4.41).

Cr. P./curve	$(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5)$	Ω_r	Existence
Q_1	$(\sinh(\beta), \cosh(\beta), 0, 0, 0)$	0	always
$Q_2^{\pm}$	$(0, \pm 1, 0, 0, 0)$	0	always
$Q_3^{\pm}$	$\left(0, 0, u_{3c}, u_{3c} \pm \sqrt{1-\mu u_{3c}^2}, 0\right)$	0	$\mu \leq 0$ or $\mu > 0, -\sqrt{\frac{1}{\mu}} \leq u_{3c} \leq \sqrt{\frac{1}{\mu}}$
$Q_4^{\pm}$	$(0, 0, 0, \pm 1, 0)$	0	always
Q_5	$(0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$	1	always

Table 3. The critical points of the autonomous system (4.45).

The evolution equations for (4.41) are

$$u_1' = -u_1^3 + u_1\left(u_2^2 - 2\mu u_3^2 - 2(u_3-u_4)^2 - 1\right) + \frac{u_5(\mu u_3 + u_3 - u_4)}{\sqrt{2}}, \tag{4.45a}$$

$$u_2' = -u_2\left(u_1^2 + 2\left(\mu u_3^2 + (u_3-u_4)^2\right) + 1\right) + u_2^3 + \frac{u_5(u_3-u_4)}{\sqrt{2}}, \tag{4.45b}$$

$$u_3' = u_3\left(-u_1^2 + u_2^2 - 2u_4^2 + 2\right) + \frac{u_1 u_5}{\sqrt{2}} - 2(\mu+1)u_3^3 + 4u_3^2 u_4, \tag{4.45c}$$

$$u_4' = u_4\left(-u_1^2 + u_2^2 - 2\left((\mu+1)u_3^2 - 1\right)\right) + \frac{u_2 u_5}{\sqrt{2}} + 4u_3 u_4^2 - 2u_4^3, \tag{4.45d}$$

$$u_5' = -u_5\left(u_1^2 - u_2^2 + 2\left(\mu u_3^2 + (u_3-u_4)^2 - 1\right)\right). \tag{4.45e}$$

where we have used the equation (4.42) as a definition of Ω_r.

The equations (4.45) define a flow on the unbounded phase space

$$\left\{(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5) \in \mathbb{R}^5 : 0 \leq \mu u_3^2 - u_1^2 + u_2^2 + (u_3-u_4)^2 \leq 1\right\}. \tag{4.46}$$

Finally, the cosmological parameters read

$$\Omega_{DE} = -u_1^2 + u_2^2 + \mu u_3^2 + (u_3-u_4)^2, \tag{4.47a}$$

$$\omega_{DE} = \frac{u_1^2 - u_2^2 + \mu u_3^2 + (u_3-u_4)^2}{u_1^2 - u_2^2 - \mu u_3^2 - (u_3-u_4)^2}, \tag{4.47b}$$

$$\omega_{eff} = \frac{1}{3}\left(-2u_1^2 + 2u_2^2 - 4\mu u_3^2 - 4(u_3-u_4)^2 + 1\right), \tag{4.47c}$$

$$q = -u_1^2 + u_2^2 - 2\mu u_3^2 - 2(u_3-u_4)^2 + 1 \tag{4.47d}$$

Table 3 presents the critical points of the autonomous system (4.45), and table 4 presents the stability conditions, cosmological parameters, and cosmological behavior of solutions for them.

Let us enumerate the critical points and critical curves of the system (4.45):

1. Q_1 is a curve of points corresponding to stiff matter which are unstable. They correspond to the past attractor of the system (4.45).

Cr. P./curve	Eigenvalues	Stability	w_{DE}	w_{eff}	q	Cosmological solution
Q_1	$3, 3, 3, 2, 0$	unstable	1	1	2	stiff-like
$Q_2^{\pm}$	$3, 3, 3, 2, 0$	unstable	1	1	2	stiff-like
$Q_3^{\pm}$	$-4, -3, -3, 0, 0$	nonhyperbolic	-1	-1	-1	de Sitter
$Q_4^{\pm}$	$-4, -3, -3, 0, 0$	nonhyperbolic	-1	-1	-1	de Sitter
Q_5	$2, 2, 2, -1, -1$	saddle	-	$\frac{1}{3}$	1	radiation-dominated

Table 4. Stability conditions, cosmological parameters, and cosmological behavior of solutions for the critical points of the autonomous system (4.45).

2. The critical points $Q_2^{\pm}$ belong to curve Q_1, and thus have the same dynamical behavior and the same physical interpretation of the whole curve of critical points.

3. Points $Q_3^{\pm}$ exist for $\mu \leq 0$ or $\mu > 0, -\sqrt{\frac{1}{\mu}} \leq u_{3c} \leq \sqrt{\frac{1}{\mu}}$, and have effective cosmological parameters $w_{eff} = -1, q = -1$, i.e., they behave as de Sitter solutions. They have a 3D stable manifold and a 2D center manifold. Henceforth, to investigate its stability we must resort to numerical experimentation or use sophisticated tools like the Center Manifold Theory. Figure 3 presents some projections of orbits of the phase space (4.45) for the choice of parameters $\alpha = 0.1, \sqrt{2}m = 0.1$. The horizontal solid (red) line corresponds to Q_3^+ and the horizontal dotted (red) line corresponds to Q_3^-. Both lines, representing de Sitter solutions, attract an open set of orbits of (4.45).

4. Points $Q_4^{\pm}$ always exist, and are special points of the curve $Q_3^{\pm}$. The effective cosmological parameters are $w_{eff} = -1, q = -1$, i.e., they behave as de Sitter solutions. The simulation presented in figure 3 suggests that they are saddles. More accurate characterization require the use of the Center Manifold Theory.

5. Point Q_5 always exists and corresponds to a radiation-dominated solution. As expected, it has saddle behavior, so it cannot attract the universe at late time, but rather corresponds to a transient epoch in cosmic history.

Finally, introducing the Poincaré variables:

$$\{U_1, U_2, U_3, U_4, U_5\} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + u_1^2 + u_2^2 + u_3^2 + u_4^2 + u_5^2}} \{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5\}, \tag{4.48}$$

we find that critical points of the system (4.45) at the infinite region are contained on the Poincaré hypersphere $S := \{U_1^2 + U_2^2 + U_3^2 + U_4^2 = 1, U_5 = 0\}$ and all the critical points on the finite region satisfy $u_5 = 0$. That is, all the possible stationary behavior of our model occurs on the regime $H \gg m$. Now, from the points located on the hypersphere S, the physical ones, that is, those inside the region

$$\begin{aligned} R := \big\{ & (U_1, U_2, U_3, U_4, U_5) : -U_1^2 + U_2^2 + \mu U_3^2 + (U_3 - U_4)^2 \geq 0, \\ & 2U_2^2 + (\mu + 2)U_3^2 - 2U_3 U_4 + 2U_4^2 + U_5^2 \leq 1, \\ & U_1^2 + U_2^2 + U_3^2 + U_4^2 + U_5^2 \leq 1 \big\}, \end{aligned}$$



Figure 3. Some projections of orbits of the phase space (4.45) for the choice $\alpha = 0.1, \sqrt{2}m = 0.1$. The horizontal solid (red) line corresponds to Q_3^+ and the horizontal dotted (red) line corresponds to Q_3^-. Both lines, representing de Sitter solutions, attracts an open set of orbits of (4.45). The figure suggest that $Q_4^\pm$ are saddles.

must satisfy $2U_1^2 + U_3(2U_4 - \mu U_3) = 1$. The complete stability analysis of the points at infinity is outside the scope of the present research.

5 Crossing the phantom divide

The crossing of the phantom divide, i.e., that the equation of state parameter of DE crosses the value $w_{DE} = -1$, is possible for both $\alpha > 0$ and $\alpha < 0$. Additionally, cyclic behavior appears for $\alpha < 0$. In this section, we present some numerics for illustrating our analytical results.

5.1 Case $\alpha > 0$

In this section we present some numerical solutions and the regimes that appear for the case $\alpha > 0$.

Observe in figure 4, that the crossing of the phantom divide occurs once, and that the equation of state parameter keeps below this line all the time, before reaching asymptotically towards the de Sitter solution from below. This result is qualitatively the same for every m and α, both positive.



Figure 4. Evolution of $\omega(\tau)$, where $\tau = \ln a$, for $\alpha = 0.1, \sqrt{2}m = 0.1$. We set $\rho_r > 0$.

5.2 Case $\alpha < 0$

In this section, we discuss the crossing of the phantom barrier $w_{DE} = -1$, and the cyclic behavior that appears for $\alpha < 0$ for three different regimes $|\alpha| \sim m$, $|\alpha| \gg m$ and $|\alpha| \ll m$.

5.2.1 Numerical Solutions and Regimes

It is known that higher derivative terms involve ghosts [38, 75], but in some regimes of the theory the ghosts are benign [38], that is, they lead to a metastable vacuum. For illustration, we plotted the numerical solutions when $V(\phi) = \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2$ in three different regimes: first, when $|\alpha|$ (the parameter associated with the quartic derivative of ϕ) is approximately equal to the parameter (mass) associated with the self interaction term, m; when $|\alpha| \gg m$; and finally, $|\alpha| \ll m$. The numerical solutions for the scalar field and the scale factor are drawn in figures 5 and 6, respectively. Finally, figure 7 presents the evolution of $\omega(t)$ in the three different regimes $|\alpha| \sim m$, $|\alpha| \gg m$, and $|\alpha| \ll m$. We choose values where $\alpha < 0$.

6 Final Remarks

We have considered a four-dimensional cosmology theory where the scalar field is minimally coupled to gravity along with a self-interacting potential and includes a higher derivative term of the scalar field. Using the dynamical systems approach, we have obtained that for $\alpha > 0$, and for initial values

$$\frac{H(t_0)}{\dot{\phi}(t_0)\ddot{\phi}(t_0)} > 0,$$

the system is attracted by the curve of singular points $P_2^{\pm}$ and corresponds to de Sitter solutions ($w_{\text{eff}} = -1, q = -1$). Since, at equilibrium, x_c and z_c are finite, it follows that $\dot{\phi} \sim H$ and $\phi \sim H$. Now, combining the definitions of x and u, it follows that $\ddot{\phi}$ is finite,



Figure 5. Evolution of $\phi(t)$ in the three different regimes $|\alpha| \sim m$, $|\alpha| \gg m$ and $|\alpha| \ll m$. We choose values where $\alpha < 0$. We set $\rho_r = 0$.



Figure 6. Evolution of $a(t)$ in the three different regimes $|\alpha| \sim m$, $|\alpha| \gg m$ and $|\alpha| \ll m$. We choose values where $\alpha < 0$. The solutions for $|\alpha| \sim m$ and $|\alpha| \gg m$ are magnified by a factor of 5×10^{185} to be displayed in the same diagram. We set $\rho_r = 0$.

which, combined with $y_c = 0$, implies that H must go to infinity as the equilibrium point is approached. Additionally, the past attractor is very likely to be stiff solution, with

$$\rho_{DE} = p_{DE} = \frac{1}{3(t-t_0)^2} + \ln\left[\left(\frac{a_1\sqrt[3]{t-t_0}}{a_0}\right)^{\sqrt{6}\frac{\sqrt{\alpha}}{\alpha}}\right] + \mathcal{O}\left((t-t_0)^2\right),$$

which represents a Big-bang singularity and is closely related to the general cosmological solution obtained in the context of nonminimally coupled scalar field dark energy models.

For completeness, we have explored the relation of our model with a 2-field theory introducing scalar field redefinition. We introduced a set new coordinates suitable for describing



Figure 7. Evolution of $\omega(t)$ in the three different regimes $|\alpha| \sim m$, $|\alpha| \gg m$ and $|\alpha| \ll m$. We choose values where $\alpha < 0$. We set $\rho_r = 0$.

a portion of the solution space that cannot be accessed by the original coordinates. The stability of the de Sitter solutions is also studied.

For $\alpha > 0$, the crossing of the phantom divide occurs once, and while the equation of state parameter keeps below this line, before asymptotically reaching towards the de Sitter solution from below.

Now, for $\alpha < 0$, we have found that the interaction allows benign behavior in the scalar field, where the vacuum is metastable to be obtained. Namely, for $|\alpha| \sim m$ the solutions of the equations of motion shown the scalar field oscillating and being damped through the time period i.e., where ghosts are benign. For this regime, we see the scale factor solution accelerate as usual. For $|\alpha| \ll m$, we see an oscillating scalar field where the amplitude is not damped during the regime, the scale factor does not accelerate for a period of time, and then accelerates abruptly. Finally for the case of $|\alpha| \gg m$, the scalar field oscillates with a period longer than that of the time in which it displays the properties of benign ghosts. The scale factor accelerates, decelerates and then accelerates again after a short time. For $\omega(t)$ in these three different regimes, we have the behaviors shown in figure 7. For $|\alpha| \sim m$ and $|\alpha| \ll m$, the phantom divide is crossed periodically, and for $|\alpha| \gg m$, the phantom divide is crossed once, but as, the equation of state becomes greater than -1, possible future crossings are less often.

It is worth mentioning that, although we have just considered radiation and the Pais-Uhlenbeck modification here, we have obtained two solutions, P_3 and P_6, where the higher derivatives modification mimics a dust fluid, and both of them are saddle points. Thus, these solutions are candidates for the transient matter dominated era that preceded the current accelerated expansion phase. However, a more complete scenario should include both a radiation field and a dust fluid and is given by the pointlike lagrangian density

$$L = L(a, \phi, \dot{a}, \dot{\phi}, \ddot{a}, \ddot{\phi}) = \frac{1}{2}\left[6(a^2\ddot{a} + a\dot{a}^2) + a^3\dot{\phi}^2 + \alpha a^3\ddot{\phi}^2 - 2a^3V(\phi) - \frac{2\rho_{r,0}}{a} - 2\rho_{m,0}\right], \tag{6.1}$$

where $\rho_{r,0}$ and $\rho_{m,0}$ are constants, and indices r and m mean radiation and matter, respectively. The investigation of this extension of our model is the aim of a subsequent paper, in which we will examine if the extended model allows for a *complete cosmological dynamic*, i.e., the existence of a viable radiation dominated era (RDE), a matter dominated era (MDE) and then a late time accelererated era [60, 76]. At each of these stages, some form of matter dominates the dynamics, and is translated into different critical points which are connect by heteroclinic orbits, starting at a source and ending at a sink or attractor (see Refs. [66, 69, 77, 78], for recent discussions on the role of heteroclinic orbits in Cosmology). The heteroclinic orbits corresponding to a specific cosmological history where a RDE precedes a MDE and allows for a late time accelerated expansion are the targets of this analysis. Additionally, one has to study the perturbations and test it with astrophysical data.

Acknowledgments

This paper is dedicated to the memory of our colleague and great friend, Sergio del Campo, first Chilean theoretical cosmologist, who sadly passed away.

We would also like to thank Miguel Cruz, Nathalie Deruelle, Justo Lopez, Efrain Rojas, Adolfo Toloza, and Ricardo Troncoso for valuable discussions. Thanks are due to Jose Beltran Jimenez, Yi-Fu Cai, Sergei Odintsov, Emmanuel N. Saridakis, and Andrei V. Smilga for bringing our attention to useful references. This work was funded by Comisión Nacional de Investigación Científica y Tecnológica (CONICYT) through: FONDECYT Grant 1110076 (J.S.), DI-PUCV Grant 123713 (J.S.), FONDECYT Grant 3140244 (G.L.), DI-PUCV Grant 123730 (G.L.) and by FONDECYT Grant 11140309 (Y.L.). Y.L. thanks the PUCV for supporting him through Proyecto DI Postdoctorado 2014. One of us (J.S.) wishes to thank the Department of Physics, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, and Prof. Bin Wang in particular for his kind hospitality. The authors thanks referees and editors whose comments helped to improve the original manuscript.

References

[1] A. D. Linde, *Chaotic Inflation*, Phys. Lett. B **129**, 177 (1983).

[2] E. J. Copeland, M. Sami and S. Tsujikawa, *Dynamics of dark energy*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **15**, 1753 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0603057].

[3] L. Amendola, *Scaling solutions in general nonminimal coupling theories*, Phys. Rev. D **60**, 043501 (1999) [arXiv:astro-ph/9904120].

[4] V. Faraoni, *Inflation and quintessence with nonminimal coupling*, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 023504 (2000) [arXiv:gr-qc/0002091].

[5] B. Ratra and P. J. E. Peebles, *Cosmological Consequences of a Rolling Homogeneous Scalar Field*, Phys. Rev. D **37**, 3406 (1988).

[6] A. R. Liddle and R. J. Scherrer, *A Classification of scalar field potentials with cosmological scaling solutions*, Phys. Rev. D **59**, 023509 (1999) [arXiv:astro-ph/9809272].

[7] J. J. Halliwell, *Scalar Fields in Cosmology with an Exponential Potential*, Phys. Lett. B **185**, 341 (1987).

[8] J. -P. Uzan, *Cosmological scaling solutions of nonminimally coupled scalar fields*, Phys. Rev. D **59**, 123510 (1999) [arXiv:gr-qc/9903004].

[9] V. Faraoni and C. S. Protheroe, *Scalar field cosmology in phase space*, Gen. Rel. Grav. **45**, 103 (2013) [arXiv:1209.3726 [gr-qc]].

[10] O. Bertolami and P. J. Martins, *Nonminimal coupling and quintessence*, Phys. Rev. D **61**, 064007 (2000) [arXiv:gr-qc/9910056].

[11] V. Faraoni, *Nonminimal coupling of the scalar field and inflation*, Phys. Rev. D **53**, 6813 (1996) [arXiv:astro-ph/9602111].

[12] B. Boisseau, G. Esposito-Farese, D. Polarski and A. A. Starobinsky, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 2236 (2000) [gr-qc/0001066].

[13] M. A. Skugoreva, A. V. Toporensky and S. Y. Vernov, *Global stability analysis for cosmological models with non-minimally coupled scalar fields*, [arXiv:1404.6226 [gr-qc]].

[14] I. Y. .Aref'eva, N. V. Bulatov, R. V. Gorbachev and S. Y. .Vernov, *Non-minimally coupled cosmological models with the Higgs-like potentials and negative cosmological constant*, Class. Quant. Grav. **31**, 065007 (2014) [arXiv:1206.2801 [gr-qc]].

[15] G. Leon, Y. Leyva, E. N. Saridakis, O. Martin and R. Cardenas, *Falsifying Field-based Dark Energy Models*, [arXiv:0912.0542 [gr-qc]].

[16] N. Kaloper and K. A. Olive, *Singularities in scalar tensor cosmologies*, Phys. Rev. D **57**, 811 (1998) [arXiv:hep-th/9708008].

[17] C. R. Fadragas and G. Leon, *Some remarks about non-minimally coupled scalar field models*, [arXiv:1405.2465 [gr-qc]].

[18] G. W. Horndeski, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **10**, 363 (1974).

[19] A. Nicolis, R. Rattazzi and E. Trincherini, *The Galileon as a local modification of gravity*, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 064036 (2009) [arXiv:0811.2197].

[20] C. Deffayet, G. Esposito-Farese, and A. Vikman, *Covariant Galileon*, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 084003 (2009) [arXiv:0901.1314].

[21] C. Deffayet, S. Deser, and G. Esposito-Farese, *Generalized Galileons: All scalar models whose curved background extensions maintain second-order field equations and stress-tensors*, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 064015 (2009) [arXiv:0906.1967].

[22] C. Deffayet, X. Gao, D. A. Steer and G. Zahariade, *From k-essence to generalised Galileons*, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 064039 (2011) [arXiv:1103.3260].

[23] A. De Felice and S. Tsujikawa, *Conditions for the cosmological viability of the most general scalar-tensor theories and their applications to extended Galileon dark energy models*, JCAP **1202**, 007 (2012) [arXiv:1110.3878 [gr-qc]].

[24] G. Leon and E. N. Saridakis, *Dynamical analysis of generalized Galileon cosmology*, JCAP **1303**, 025 (2013) [arXiv:1211.3088 [astro-ph.CO]].

[25] C. de Rham and L. Heisenberg, *Cosmology of the Galileon from Massive Gravity*, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 043503 (2011) [arXiv:1106.3312 [hep-th]].

[26] L. Heisenberg, R. Kimura and K. Yamamoto, *Cosmology of the proxy theory to massive gravity*, Phys. Rev. D **89**, 103008 (2014) [arXiv:1403.2049 [hep-th]].

[27] L. Amendola, *Cosmology with nonminimal derivative couplings*, Phys. Lett. B **301**, 175 (1993) [arXiv:gr-qc/9302010].

[28] S. Capozziello, G. Lambiase and H. J. Schmidt, *Nonminimal derivative couplings and inflation in generalized theories of gravity*, Annalen Phys. **9**, 39 (2000) [arXiv:gr-qc/9906051].

[29] S. V. Sushkov, *Exact cosmological solutions with nonminimal derivative coupling*, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 103505 (2009) [arXiv:0910.0980 [gr-qc]].

[30] E. N. Saridakis and S. V. Sushkov, *Quintessence and phantom cosmology with non-minimal derivative coupling*, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 083510 (2010) [arXiv:1002.3478 [gr-qc]].

[31] A. Anisimov, E. Babichev and A. Vikman, *B-inflation*, JCAP **0506**, 006 (2005) [arXiv:astro-ph/0504560].

[32] E. Elizalde, A. G. Zheksenaev, S. D. Odintsov and I. L. Shapiro, *A Four-dimensional theory for quantum gravity with conformal and nonconformal explicit solutions*, Class. Quant. Grav. **12**, 1385 (1995) [hep-th/9412061]. [arXiv:hep-th/9412061]

[33] E. Elizalde, A. G. Zheksenaev, S. D. Odintsov and I. L. Shapiro, *One loop renormalization and asymptotic behavior of a higher derivative scalar theory in curved space-time*, Phys. Lett. B **328**, 297 (1994) [arXiv:hep-th/9402154]

[34] A. Pais and G. E. Uhlenbeck, *On Field theories with nonlocalized action*, Phys. Rev. **79**, 145 (1950).

[35] K. Bolonek, P. Kosinski, *Hamiltonian structures for Pais-Uhlenbeck oscillator*, Acta Phys. Polon.B 36 (2005), 2115. [arXiv:quant-ph/0501024].

[36] R. P. Woodard, *Avoiding dark energy with 1/r modifications of gravity*, Lect. Notes Phys. **720**, 403 (2007) [arXiv:astro-ph/0601672].

[37] M. Ostrogradski. *Memoires sur les equations differentielles relatives au probleme des isoperimetres* Mem. Ac. St. Petersbourg VI 4, 385 (1850).

[38] A. V. Smilga, *Benign versus malicious ghosts in higher-derivative theories*, Nucl. Phys. B **706**, 598 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0407231].

[39] D. Robert and A. V. Smilga, *Supersymmetry vs ghosts*, J. Math. Phys. **49**, 042104 (2008) [arXiv:math-ph/0611023].

[40] A. V. Smilga, *Comments on the dynamics of the Pais-Uhlenbeck oscillator*, SIGMA **5**, 017 (2009) [arXiv:0808.0139 [quant-ph]].

[41] A. V. Smilga, *Supersymmetric field theory with benign ghosts*, J. Phys. A **47**, 052001 (2014) [arXiv:1306.6066 [hep-th]].

[42] J. B. Jimenez, E. Dio and R. Durrer, *A longitudinal gauge degree of freedom and the Pais Uhlenbeck field*, JHEP **1304**, 030 (2013) [arXiv:1211.0441 [hep-th]].

[43] J. Beltran Jimenez and A. L. Maroto, *Cosmological electromagnetic fields and dark energy*, JCAP **0903**, 016 (2009) [arXiv:0811.0566 [astro-ph]].

[44] J. Beltran Jimenez and A. L. Maroto, *The electromagnetic dark sector*, Phys. Lett. B **686**, 175 (2010) [arXiv:0903.4672 [astro-ph.CO]].

[45] Y. F. Cai, D. A. Easson and R. Brandenberger, *Towards a Nonsingular Bouncing Cosmology*, JCAP **1208**, 020 (2012) [arXiv:1206.2382 [hep-th]].

[46] Y. F. Cai, *Exploring Bouncing Cosmologies with Cosmological Surveys*, Sci. China Phys. Mech. Astron. **57**, 1414 (2014) [arXiv:1405.1369 [hep-th]].

[47] M. -z. Li, B. Feng and X. -m. Zhang, *A Single scalar field model of dark energy with equation of state crossing -1*, JCAP **0512**, 002 (2005) [arXiv:hep-ph/0503268].

[48] P. Creminelli, A. Nicolis, M. Papucci and E. Trincherini, *Ghosts in massive gravity*, JHEP **0509**, 003 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0505147].

[49] B. Feng, X. -L. Wang and X. -M. Zhang, *Dark energy constraints from the cosmic age and supernova*, Phys. Lett. B **607**, 35 (2005) [arXiv:astro-ph/0404224].

[50] Z. -K. Guo, Y. -S. Piao, X. -M. Zhang and Y. -Z. Zhang, *Cosmological evolution of a quintom model of dark energy*, Phys. Lett. B **608**, 177 (2005) [arXiv:astro-ph/0410654].

[51] X. -F. Zhang, H. Li, Y. -S. Piao and X. -M. Zhang, *Two-field models of dark energy with equation of state across -1*, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **21** (2006) 231 [arXiv:astro-ph/0501652].

[52] I. Y. .Aref'eva, A. S. Koshelev and S. Y. .Vernov, *Crossing of the w = -1 barrier by D3-brane dark energy model*, Phys. Rev. D **72**, 064017 (2005) [arXiv:astro-ph/0507067].

[53] W. Zhao, *Quintom models with an equation of state crossing -1*, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 123509 (2006) [arXiv:astro-ph/0604460].

[54] R. Lazkoz and G. Leon, *Quintom cosmologies admitting either tracking or phantom attractors*, Phys. Lett. B **638**, 303 (2006) [arXiv:astro-ph/0602590].

[55] S. Y. .Vernov, *Construction of Exact Solutions in Two-Fields Models and the Crossing of the Cosmological Constant Barrier*, Teor. Mat. Fiz. **155**, 47 (2008) [Theor. Math. Phys. **155**, 544 (2008)] [arXiv:astro-ph/0612487].

[56] R. Lazkoz, G. Leon and I. Quiros, *Quintom cosmologies with arbitrary potentials*, Phys. Lett. B **649**, 103 (2007) [arXiv:astro-ph/0701353].

[57] M. R. Setare and E. N. Saridakis, *Coupled oscillators as models of quintom dark energy*, Phys. Lett. B **668**, 177 (2008) [arXiv:0802.2595 [hep-th]].

[58] Y. -F. Cai, E. N. Saridakis, M. R. Setare and J. -Q. Xia, *Quintom Cosmology: Theoretical implications and observations*, Phys. Rept. **493**, 1 (2010) [arXiv:0909.2776 [hep-th]].

[59] I. Y. .Aref'eva, N. V. Bulatov and S. Y. .Vernov, *Stable Exact Solutions in Cosmological Models with Two Scalar Fields*, Theor. Math. Phys. **163**, 788 (2010) [arXiv:0911.5105 [hep-th]].

[60] G. Leon, Y. Leyva and J. Socorro, *Quintom phase-space: beyond the exponential potential*, Phys. Lett. B **732**, 285 (2014) [arXiv:1208.0061[gr-qc]].

[61] M. Demianski, R. de Ritis, G. Marmo, G. Platania, C. Rubano, P. Scudellaro and C. Stornaiolo, *Scalar field, nonminimal coupling, and cosmology*, Phys. Rev. D **44**, 3136 (1991).

[62] J.D. Logan, J.S. Blakeslee, *An invariance theory for second order variational problems*, J. Math. Phys. 16, 1374 (1975).

[63] A. De Felice and S. Tsujikawa, *Cosmology of a covariant Galileon field*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 111301 (2010) [arXiv:1007.2700].

[64] S. A. Appleby and E. V. Linder, *The Paths of Gravity in Galileon Cosmology*, JCAP **1203**, 043 (2012) [arXiv:1112.1981 [astro-ph.CO]].

[65] L. Perko, *Differential Equations and Dynamical Systems, Third Edition*, Springer (2001).

[66] *Dynamical Systems in Cosmology*, edited by J. Wainwright and G. F. R. Ellis, Cambridge University Press (1997).

[67] E. J. Copeland, A. R. Liddle and D. Wands, *Exponential potentials and cosmological scaling solutions*, Phys. Rev. D **57**, 4686 (1998), [arXiv:gr-qc/9711068].

[68] P. G. Ferreira and M. Joyce, *Structure formation with a self-tuning scalar field*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 4740 (1997), [arXiv:astro-ph/9707286].

[69] A. A. Coley, "Dynamical systems and cosmology," (Astrophysics and Space Science Library. 291)

[70] X. m. Chen, Y. g. Gong and E. N. Saridakis, *Phase-space analysis of interacting phantom cosmology*, JCAP **0904**, 001 (2009), [arXiv:0812.1117].

[71] S. Cotsakis and G. Kittou, *Flat limits of curved interacting cosmic fluids*, Phys. Rev. D **88**, 083514 (2013), [arXiv:1307.0377].

[72] R. Giambo and J. Miritzis, *Energy exchange for homogeneous and isotropic universes with a scalar field coupled to matter*, Class. Quant. Grav. **27** (2010) 095003, [arXiv:0908.3452].

[73] A. A. Starobinsky, *On a nonsingular isotropic cosmological model*, Pisma v Astronomicheskii Zhurnal, vol. 4, Apr. 1978, p. 155-159. Soviet Astronomy Letters, vol. 4, Mar.-Apr. 1978, p. 82-84. Translation. [1978SvAL....4...82S]

[74] G. Leon, *On the Past Asymptotic Dynamics of Non-minimally Coupled Dark Energy*, Class. Quant. Grav. **26**, 035008 (2009) [arXiv:0812.1013 [gr-qc]].

[75] Y. -F. Cai, T. -t. Qiu, R. Brandenberger and X. -m. Zhang, *A Nonsingular Cosmology with a Scale-Invariant Spectrum of Cosmological Perturbations from Lee-Wick Theory*, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 023511 (2009) [arXiv:0810.4677 [hep-th]].

[76] A. Avelino, Y. Leyva and L. A. Urena-Lopez, *Interacting viscous dark fluids*," Phys. Rev. D **88**, 123004 (2013) [arXiv:1306.3270 [astro-ph.CO]].

[77] J. M. Heinzle, C. Uggla and N. Rohr, *The Cosmological billiard attractor*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **13**, 293 (2009) [gr-qc/0702141]. [arXiv:gr-qc/0702141].

[78] L. A. Urena-Lopez, *Unified description of the dynamics of quintessential scalar fields*," JCAP **1203**, 035 (2012) [arXiv:1108.4712 [astro-ph.CO]].

[79] S. D. H. Hsu, A. Jenkins and M. B. Wise, *Gradient instability for w ¡ -1*, Phys. Lett. B **597**, 270 (2004) [arXiv: astro-ph/0406043].

[80] R. V. Buniy and S. D. H. Hsu, *Instabilities and the null energy condition*, Phys. Lett. B **632**, 543 (2006) [arXiv: hep-th/0502203].